

| Report:      | Powys CHC Response to the "Futurefit" consultation   |
|--------------|--|
| Date:        | 9 <sup>th</sup> January 2019   |
| Author:      | Katie Blackburn, Chief Officer   |
| Attachment:  | Paper distributed to the members of Montgomeryshire Local Committee 27 <sup>th</sup> December 2018 (for discussion |
| (Appendix 1) | and consideration at the Montgomeryshire Local Committee held on 8 <sup>th</sup> January 2019).                    |

Note: On 4<sup>th</sup> December 2018, the Powys CHC Executive Committee delegated decision-making authority to the Montgomeryshire Local Committee. (s.19 (1)(b)(i) of the Regulations).

### 1. Introduction

1.1 A meeting of the Montgomeryshire Local Committee was held on 8<sup>th</sup> January 2019.

#### 1.3 In attendance were:

Carl Strack
 Chair, Montgomeryshire Local Committee

• Frances Hunt Chair, Powys CHC

Dr. David Collington
 Vice Chair, Powys CHC

Cllr. Heulwen Hulme
Flavia Humphreys
Cllr. David Jones
Nicola Ruck
Rex Shayler
Member
Member
Member
Member

- 1.4 Apologies were received from Dr. Anthea Wilson, Vice-Chair, Montgomeryshire Local Committee; her comments/ observations were considered during the discussion.
- 1.5 The meeting was quorate.
- 1.6 Members of the Montgomeryshire Local Committee received a verbal update from Adrian Osborne (Assistant Director, Engagement and Communication PTHB) and Pam Schreier (STP Communications and Engagement Lead).
- 1.7 Members had the opportunity to ask questions and/ or seek further clarification.
- 1.8 The Chief Officer presented the briefing paper (Appendix 1)
- 1.9 After each section, the Chair took a vote.

#### 1 Consultation

[in relation to s.27 The Community Health Councils (Constitution, Membership and Procedures)(Wales)(Amendments) Regulations 2015]

Question 1: Do the members of the Montgomeryshire Local Committee, on behalf of Powys CHC, consider that the consultation has been adequate in relation to content and time allowed?

Decision: <u>Unanimously agreed</u> that the consultation undertaken has been adequate in relation to content and time allowed.

Question 2: Do the members of the Montgomeryshire Local Committee, on behalf of Powys CHC, consider that the consultation has been adequate with regard to Powys CHC being consulted at the inception?

Decision: <u>Unanimously agreed</u> that the consultation undertaken has been adequate with regard to Powys CHC being consulted at the inception.

**Question 3:** 

Do the members of Montgomeryshire Local Committee, on behalf of Powys CHC, consider that consultation has been adequate in relation to the frequency with which Powys CHC has been consulted throughout the proposal and decision making process?

Decision:

<u>Unanimously agreed</u> that the consultation undertaken has been adequate in relation to the frequency with which Powys CHC has been consulted throughout the proposal and decision making process.

## 2 Impact

[In relation to s.40:Guidance for Engagement and Consultation on Changes to Health Services]

**Question 4:** 

Do the members of the Montgomeryshire Local Committee, on behalf of Powys CHC, consider that they have had sufficient information and data to be able to assess the impact of these proposed changes on the residents of North Powys/ Mid Wales?

Decision:

<u>Unanimously agreed</u> that members had sufficient information and data to be able to assess the impact of these proposed changes on the residents of North Powys/ Mid Wales

**Question 5:** 

Do the members of the Montgomeryshire Local Committee, on behalf of Powys CHC, consider that, had it felt that there were other options to consider then it had sufficient opportunity to raise these with the Future Fit Programme Board and PTHB during the process (and at the earliest opportunity)?

Decision:

<u>Unanimously agreed</u> that had it felt that there were other options to consider then it had sufficient opportunity to raise these with the Future Fit

Programme Board and PTHB during the process (and at the earliest opportunity).

# 3 <u>Consideration of comments received, including any</u> observations by Powys CHC.

[In relation to s.41:Guidance for Engagement and Consultation on Changes to Health Services]

Question 6: Having considered all the comments received from

Powys respondents, do the members of the Montgomeryshire Local Committee, on behalf of

Powys CHC, wish to record any observations?

Decision: The members of Montgomeryshire Local Committee

agreed that it was likely that the Executive Committee would wish to record a number of observations following some key themes arising from Powys responses. It was agreed that these should be discussed and agreed at the Executive Committee meeting on 24<sup>th</sup> January 2019 and then fed back to

PTHB.

## 4 The Consultation proposal

[In relation to s.42: Guidance for Engagement and Consultation on Changes to Health Services ]

**Question 7:** Do the members of the Montgomeryshire Local

Committee, on behalf of, Powys CHC still agree to the proposal contained within Option 1? [That the Royal Shrewsbury Hospital becomes an Emergency Care site and the Princess Royal Hospital becomes a

Planned Care site]

Decision: **Unanimously agreed** the proposal contained within

Option 1.

Question 8: Are the members of the Montgomeryshire Local

Committee, on behalf of Powys CHC, satisfied that the proposals for this substantial change to health services would be in the interests of health services

in Powys?

Decision: **Unanimously agreed** that the proposals for this

substantial change to health services would be in the

interests of health services in Powys.

#### 5 Conclusion

Having undertaken a comprehensive analysis of both the data provided and the responses received, the Montgomeryshire Local Committee, on behalf of Powys CHC, unanimously supports Option 1.